Title: Are SUV more common at Safeway or Whole Foods?

| Data Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Survey Question | Is vehicle an SUV? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Example | Population 1= Cars at Safeway |  |  | Population 2 <br> = Cars at <br> Whole Foods |  |  |  |
| Name | x1= \# of Yes | n1 = \# of cars | p1-hat | x2 | n2 | p2-hat |  |
| Ann | 25 | 120 | 0.208 | 36 | 125 | 0.288 |  |
| Bill | 21 | 100 | 0.21 | 45 | 200 | 0.225 |  |
| Chris | 12 | 50 | 0.24 | 31 | 60 | 0.517 |  |
| Total | 58 | 270 | 0.2148 | 112 | 385 | 0.2909 | -0.0761 |
|  | $x 1=58$ | $\mathrm{n} 1=270$ | p1-hat=. 215 | $x 2=112$ | $\mathrm{n} 2=385$ | p2hat=. 291 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { p1hat- } \\ & \text { p2hat=-0.076 } \end{aligned}$ |

## Requirements

The number of successes and failures $x 1=n 1 p 1=58$, $n 1 q 1=208$, $n 2 p 2=112$, and $n 2 q 2=273$ for both samples is well above 5 , our sample is large enough.

Since this data was collected by 3 people at different times of day and on different times during the week it is stratified. It is reasonable to conclude that vehicles were randomly selected. Therefore, the requirements have been met.

## Confidence intervals

1-propzinteval p1 (.1737,.25593)

We are $90 \%$ confident that the proportion of Safeway patrons who drive SUVs is between $17.4 \%$ and 25.6\%

1 propZinterval p2 is $(.25284, .32898)$
We are $90 \%$ confident that the proportion of whole foods patrons who drive SUVs is between $25.3 \%$ and 32.9\%

## 2PropZinterval p1-p1

We are $90 \%$ confident that the difference in the proportion of Safeway patrons who drive SUV and Whole Foods patrons who drive SUV is between -13.2\% and $-2.01 \%$.

Since zero is not in this interval and all the values are negative it indicates that fewer Safeway patrons drive SUV.

The proportion of SUV drivers at Safeway is between $2.01 \%$ and $13.2 \%$ lower than at Whole Foods.

## Question

Test the claim that the proportion of SUVs at Whole Foods is higher than the proportion of SUV at Safeway at the .05 level of significance. Use the following sample.

```
x1=58 n1=270 p1-hat=.215 x2= 112 n2=385 p2hat=.291 p1hat-p2hat=-0.076
```

Hypothesis Test 2-propZTest
2)

> Ho: p1= p2
> H1: p1<p2
3) PE: p1 hat-p2hat=-0.076
4) $\mathrm{CV}:-1.645$
5) TS: -2.19
6) Graph

7) $p$-value $=0.0144$
8) Initial Conclusion: Reject $\mathrm{H}_{0}$
9) There is sufficient evidence that the proportion of SUV at Safeway is less than the proportion of SUV s at Whole Foods.

9a) If the population proportion of all cars that are SUV at Safeway and Whole Foods were the same then there would only be a $1.44 \%$ chance that we would get a sample difference of .076 or more in a sample of this size.

Since our $p$-value $=0.0144<0.05$ our significance level, a sample difference as large or larger than 0.076 would be unusual to see if the proportions of SUVs at Safeway and Whole Foods were the same so we reject Ho.

9b) Since our test statistic lies in the critical region further from zero than our critical value, the test statistics for our sample is considered statistically significant. Therefore, we reject Ho that the proportion of SUVs at Safeway and Whole Foods are the Same.

9c) We are $90 \%$ confident that the difference in the proportion of Safeway patrons who drive SUVs and Whole Foods patrons who drive SUVs is between -13.2\% and $-2.01 \%$. Since zero is not in this interval and all the values are negative it indicates that fewer Safeway patrons drive SUVs. The proportion of SUV drivers at Safeway is between $2.01 \%$ and $13.2 \%$ lower than at Whole Foods.

